arXiv:1606.03226v3 [hep-th] 7 Feb 2017

Soft hairs on isolated horizon implanted by electromagnetic fields

Pujian Mao* [a], Xiaoning Wu† [b], and Hongbao Zhang‡ [c,d]

[a] Service de Physique Théorique et Mathématique, Université Libre de Bruxelles and International Solvay Institutes, Campus de la Plaine, CP 231, B-1050 Bruxelles, Belgium
[b] Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and System Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
[c] Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
[d] Theoretische Natuurkunde, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, and The International Solvay Institutes, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

Abstract

Inspired by the recent proposal of soft hair on black holes in Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 231301 (2016), we have shown that an isolated horizon carries soft hairs implanted by electromagnetic fields. The solution space and the asymptotic symmetries of Einstein-Maxwell theory have been worked out explicitly near isolated horizon. The conserved current has been computed and an infinite number of near horizon charges have been introduced from the electromagnetic fields associated to the asymptotic $U(1)$ symmetry near the horizon, which indicates the fact that isolated horizon carries a large amount of soft electric hairs. The soft electric hairs, *i.e.* asymptotic $U(1)$ charges, are shown to be equivalent to the electric multipole moments of isolated horizons. It is further argued that the isolated horizon supertranslation is from the ambiguity of its foliation and an analogue of memory effect on horizon can be expected.

*pujian.mao@ulb.ac.be
†wuxn@amss.ac.cn
‡hzhang@vub.ac.be

1 Introduction

The Bondi-Metzner-Sachs(BMS) group [1] has been discovered for more than fifty years. Though enormous efforts [2] have been given to uncover this mysterious and fascinating asymptotic symmetry group in the past half century, it is still not, we believe, well understood especially for the quantum aspect of this group. On the one hand, we need a special treatment for the field theory with boundaries [3]. On the other hand, as an "improper" gauge transformation [4] on a manifold with a boundary, physical observables need not be invariant under the BMS transformation. How to count this new set of dynamical degrees of freedom is a quite difficult question. Moreover, the enhanced boundary degrees of freedom are always accompanied with the gravitational propagating degrees of freedom. To be more precise, the asymptotic symmetry of 4 dimensional asymptotically flat spacetime will be reduced to Poincaré group [5] at spatial infinity who has no dynamics [6], unlike the 3 dimensional asymptotically anti de Sitter case [7] which has a better understanding from the holographic viewpoint [8].

Recently, a new scenario has been proposed by Strominger et al [9]. They argued that gravity S-Matrix should have BMS symmetry and found a deep connection between BMS supertranslation and Weinberg's soft graviton theorem [10]. Such a treatment has been extended and succeeded in other gauge theories shortly [11, 12], which gives the asymptotic symmetry a new lease of life. Apart from the fruitful result, this scenario is highly sensitive to the existence of black hole in the bulk. On the symmetry level, the asymptotic symmetry group on future null infinity(BMS^+) and the asymptotic symmetry group on past null infinity(BMS^-) are isomorphic to each other but they are independent symmetries on different null infinities. To be a symmetry of the S-matrix, a canonical relation between BMS^+ and BMS^- is required to act on both incoming and outgoing state. Only in a finite neighborhood of the Minkowski spacetime, a canonical identification between elements of BMS^+ and BMS^- can be achieved [13]. The presence of black hole, though the spacetime is still asymptotically flat, will definitely challenge this identification. At the quantum level, the unitarity is not guaranteed due to black hole formation, which is known as information paradox [14].

Nevertheless, the obstacle of black hole formation does not block the progress of understanding the asymptotic symmetries, but sheds new insights to black hole physics [15–21]. As a null hypersurface, the black hole horizon can be treated as an inner boundary. The symmetries near horizon create new dynamical degrees of freedom. However, the well-known uniqueness theorem [22] tells us that the non-zero conserved charge of a stationary black hole in Einstein-Maxwell theory should be only M, J and Q. A stationary horizon seems insensitive to the new dynamical degrees of freedom. As also pointed in [16], the dynamical process such as black hole formation/evaporation will cause the changing of vacuum state of gravity. This means that one needs to investigate non-stationary process instead of just considering stationary black hole. In order to do so, the isolated horizon should be a better candidate for understanding the near horizon physics. An isolated horizon was introduced in [23], who describes later state of black holes. All dynamical process in the neighborhood of the horizon

has been almost settled down, but the space-time far away from the horizon can be still dynamical. Consequently, the isolated horizon framework serves as a more realistic resolution of black hole physics and is playing an important role in numerical simulations. Though the exact symmetry of an isolated horizon is not rich enough [24], it was shown in [25] that the isolated horizon structure has some ambiguity which is supposed to count for the recently revealed near horizon supertranslation in [17]. The action of a supertranslation on the isolated horizon can map one set of isolated horizon structure into another one which is equivalent to a dynamical process *e.g.* radiation crossing the horizon. This can be understood as a isolated horizon analogue of memory effect at null infinity [26]. Hence, it would be highly meaningful to have a fully asymptotic analysis of an isolated horizon.

In this paper, the asymptotic structure of Einstein-Maxwell system near the isolated horizon will be work out explicitly. We will show a tractable solution space and asymptotic symmetries of Einstein-Maxwell theory near the isolated horizon $\mathcal{H}$. The asymptotic symmetries consist of supertranslation, superrotation, and the asymptotic $U(1)$ symmetry which is an expected enhancement of the new discovery in [17]. Those symmetries form a closed algebra. The local conserved current associated with the asymptotic symmetries will be constructed. To have a concrete physical interpretation, we would restrain ourself in a special class of the solution space which is the approximation of a Schwarzschild black hole surrounded by electromagnetic fields. In such a physical specification, one can define an infinite number of conserved charges respecting to the asymptotic $U(1)$ symmetry. According to the terminology of [16], apart from the electric charge, we would call them as soft electric hairs. It's further shown that those soft hairs are equivalent to the electric multipole moments of isolated horizons defined in [25]. The supertranslation charges can be also introduced but they are vanishing except the zero mode. Remarkably, the presence of Maxwell fields does not affect the zero mode of the supertranslation charge. This is direct evidence that the soft electric hairs are implanted by soft photons who contain no energy. The existence of soft hairs reveals new dynamical degrees of freedom meaning that isolated horizons with different soft electric hairs should be considered as different physical states. This may inspire a direct counting of horizon degrees of freedom.

This paper is organized as follows. In next section, after a review of isolated horizon, the solution space of Einstein-Maxwell theory near an isolated horizon will be worked out explicitly. Section 3 presents the asymptotic symmetry structure of Einstein-Maxwell theory and the conserved current associated with those asymptotic symmetries. The influence of the presence of electromagnetic fields around Schwarzschild black hole, as a special physical approximation, will be illustrated in section 4. The near horizon supertranslation and the ambiguity of an isolated horizon will be discussed in section 5 to depict an analogue of memory effect on horizon. The last section will be devoted to some discussions. There is also an appendix listing the details of the solution space of Einstein-Maxwell theory near an isolated horizon.

2 Solution space of Einstein-Maxwell theory near isolated horizon

The concept of isolated horizons was introduced to approximate event horizons of black holes at late stages of gravitational collapse and of black hole mergers when back-scattered radiation falling into the black hole can be neglected [23].

In the present work, we will use the Newman-Penrose(NP) formalism [27] which is a tetrad system with four null basis $l, n, m, \overline{m}$ satisfying orthogonality conditions $l \cdot m = l \cdot \overline{m} = n \cdot m = n \cdot \overline{m} = 0$ and normalization conditions $l \cdot n = -m \cdot \overline{m} = 1$. The various Ricci rotation-coefficients in tetrad system, now called the spin coefficients, are designated by a list of special symbols as follows:

$$\kappa = l^\nu m^\mu \nabla_\nu l_\mu, \;\; \pi = -l^\nu \bar{m}^\mu \nabla_\nu n_\mu, \;\; \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(l^\nu n^\mu \nabla_\nu l_\mu - l^\nu \bar{m}^\mu \nabla_\nu m_\mu),$$
$$\tau = n^\nu m^\mu \nabla_\nu l_\mu, \;\; \nu = -n^\nu \bar{m}^\mu \nabla_\nu n_\mu, \;\; \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(n^\nu n^\mu \nabla_\nu l_\mu - n^\nu \bar{m}^\mu \nabla_\nu m_\mu),$$
$$\sigma = m^\nu m^\mu \nabla_\nu l_\mu, \;\; \mu = -m^\nu \bar{m}^\mu \nabla_\nu n_\mu, \;\; \beta = \frac{1}{2}(m^\nu n^\mu \nabla_\nu l_\mu - m^\nu \bar{m}^\mu \nabla_\nu m_\mu),$$
$$\rho = \bar{m}^\nu m^\mu \nabla_\nu l_\mu, \;\; \lambda = -\bar{m}^\nu \bar{m}^\mu \nabla_\nu n_\mu, \;\; \alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{m}^\nu n^\mu \nabla_\nu l_\mu - \bar{m}^\nu \bar{m}^\mu \nabla_\nu m_\mu),$$

where ∇_μ is the covariant derivative. It would be helpful to summarize briefly the geometric meaning of the spin coefficients introduced in [27, 28].

Consider a null congruence generated by l_μ, the spin coefficient κ is related to the first curvature by

$$l^\nu \nabla_\nu l_\mu = -\kappa \overline{m}_\mu - \overline{\kappa} m_\mu + (\epsilon + \overline{\epsilon}) l_\mu. \tag{1}$$

Thus $\kappa = 0$ yields a null geodesic congruence. In the case of an affine parameter, namely $\epsilon = 0$ which can be achieved by a rescaling of l_μ, we have

$$\rho + \overline{\rho} = -\nabla_\mu l^\mu, \;\; (\rho - \overline{\rho})^2 = -\nabla^\mu l^\nu (\nabla_\mu l_\nu - \nabla_\nu l_\mu), \tag{2}$$
$$(\rho + \overline{\rho})^2 + 4\sigma\overline{\sigma} = \nabla^\mu l^\nu (\nabla_\mu l_\nu + \nabla_\nu l_\mu). \tag{3}$$

If one follows the geodesic congruence of l_μ, the expansion, the rotation and the shear are measured by $\mathrm{Re}(\rho)$, $\mathrm{Im}(\rho)$ and σ respectively. Moreover, τ describes the change of the direction l_μ along the direction n_ν following the relation

$$n^\nu \nabla_\nu l_\mu = -\tau \overline{m}_\mu - \overline{\tau} m_\mu + (\gamma + \overline{\gamma}) l_\mu. \tag{4}$$

The spin coefficient ν, μ, λ, π are the analogue of κ, $-\rho$, $-\sigma$, τ when the geodesic congruence generator is n_μ instead of l_μ.

In NP formalism the Weyl tensor and the field-strength *i.e.* the physical fields in Einstein-Maxwell system, are organized as follows:

$$\Psi_0 = -C_{abcd} l^a m^b l^c m^d, \;\; \Psi_1 = -C_{abcd} l^a n^b l^c m^d, \;\; \Psi_2 = -C_{abcd} l^a m^b \overline{m}^c n^d,$$
$$\Psi_3 = -C_{abcd} l^a n^b \overline{m}^c n^d, \;\; \Psi_4 = -C_{abcd} n^a m^b n^c \overline{m}^d,$$
$$\phi_0 = F_{ab} l^a m^b, \;\; \phi_1 = \frac{1}{2} F_{ab}(l^a n^b + \overline{m}^a m^b), \;\; \phi_2 = F_{ab} \overline{m}^a n^b.$$

The ten components of the Ricci tensor are defined in terms of four real and three complex scalars:

$$\Phi_{00} = -\frac{1}{2}R_{11},\ \ \Phi_{11} = -\frac{1}{4}(R_{12}+R_{34}),\ \ \Phi_{22} = -\frac{1}{2}R_{22},\ \ \Lambda = \frac{1}{24}R = \frac{1}{12}(R_{12}-R_{34}), \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{02} &= -\frac{1}{2}R_{33},\ \ \Phi_{01} = -\frac{1}{2}R_{13},\ \ \Phi_{12} = -\frac{1}{2}R_{23}, \\ \Phi_{20} &= -\frac{1}{2}R_{44},\ \ \Phi_{10} = -\frac{1}{2}R_{14},\ \ \Phi_{21} = -\frac{1}{2}R_{24}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where Λ is the cosmological constant and Φ_{ab} is the adapted stress-energy tensor. In Einstein-Maxwell theory $\Phi_{ab} = \phi_a\overline{\phi}_b$. The equations governing those quantities are called Newman-Penrose equations which are the analogue of Cartan's structure equations in the standard tetrad system. We will adopt the convention of [28] throughout this paper and will directly refer to the notations and equations therein. Let us choose n to be the normal vector[1] of the isolated horizon $\mathcal{H}$. According to [24,29], the definition of a generic Isolated Horizon in Newman-Penrose formalism is

Definition 1: *A 3-dimensional null sub-manifold $\mathcal{H}$ is called an isolated horizon if*
(1) $\mathcal{H}$ is diffeomorphic to the product $\mathbb{S}\times R$, where $\mathbb{S}$ is a 2-dimensional space-like manifold, and the fibers of the projection

$$\Pi : \mathbb{S}\times R \to \mathbb{S}$$

are null curves in $\mathcal{H}$;
(2) the expansion of its normal vector n vanishes everywhere on $\mathcal{H}$;
(3) Einstein's equations hold on $\mathcal{H}$ and the stress-tensor satisfies $\Phi_{22}\hat{=}\Phi_{12}\hat{=}\Phi_{21}\hat{=}0$, where $\hat{=}$ means on horizon $\mathcal{H}$ only;
(4) the entire geometry of $\mathcal{H}$ and the gauge potential A_μ are stationary, i.e. time-independent.

To admit an isolated horizon structure, the horizon data will have several constraints. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is null, the normal vector n is the generator of null geodesic on the horizon $\mathcal{H}$. Hence, the spin coefficients relation

$$n^\mu\nabla_\mu n = -(\gamma+\overline{\gamma})n + \nu m + \overline{\nu m}$$

gives $\nu\hat{=}0$. $k_{(n)} := -(\gamma+\overline{\gamma})$ will be called surface gravity of $\mathcal{H}$. The orthogonality and normalization conditions of the tetrad system now uniquely specify a two-parameter subset of geodesic of a null geodesic congruence by displacement vectors $m, \overline{m}$. From the definition of the spin coefficients, requirement (2) implies $\mu\hat{=}0$; (3) fixes the complex

[1]Our convention of the normal vector is different from [24,29] to be consistent with the notation at null infinity. To compare with [24,29], one just needs to exchange l and n.

scalar $\phi_2\hat{=}0$; the last condition requires the Lie derivative of all spin coefficients and gauge fields alone n vanish on the horizon. Moreover, $k_{(n)}$ is a constant on the horizon. When $k_{(n)}=0$, it will be called an extremal isolated horizon. Those constraints are derived explicitly in [24, 29].

In a Bondi-like coordinate $(u,r,z,\bar{z})$, the horizon will be located at $r=0$. We will further choose the following gauge and boundary conditions on Maxwell potential A_μ as $A_r=0, A_u\hat{=}0$. The full solution of Newman-Penrose equations near a non-extremal isolated horizon has been derived in Appendix A. We summarize as following:

A solution of Einstein-Maxwell theory in the neighborhood of an isolated horizon will be specified by the initial data $\Psi_0(r,z,\bar{z})$, $\phi_0(r,z,\bar{z})$ and the data on $\mathbb{S}$ including its metric $q_{z\bar{z}}=\frac{1}{P(z,\bar{z})P(z,\bar{z})}$, its extrinsic curvature $\tau(z,\bar{z})$, electromagnetic fields $A_u(z,\bar{z})$, $A_z(z,\bar{z})$, together with the constant surface gravity $k_{(n)}$ [2].

The near horizon metric in $(+,-,-,-)$ signature and gauge fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 =& [4\gamma_0 r+(\Psi_2^0+\overline{\Psi}_2^0+2\phi_1^0\overline{\phi}_1^0)r^2+O(r^3)]du^2+2dudr\\
&+[4\frac{\overline{\tau}_0}{\bar{P}}r+\frac{2\overline{\sigma}_0}{\bar{P}}(\overline{\Psi}_1^0+\overline{\phi}_0^0\phi_1^0-\tau_0)r^2+O(r^3)]dudz\\
&+[4\frac{\tau_0}{P}r+\frac{2\sigma_0}{P}(\Psi_1^0+\phi_0^0\overline{\phi}_1^0-\overline{\tau}_0)r^2+O(r^3)]dud\bar{z}\\
&+[\frac{2\overline{\sigma}_0}{\bar{P}^2}r-\frac{4\overline{\Psi}_0^0}{\bar{P}^2}r^2+O(r^3)]dz^2+[\frac{2\sigma_0}{P^2}r-\frac{4\Psi_0^0}{P^2}r^2+O(r^3)]d\bar{z}^2\\
&+2[-\frac{1}{P\bar{P}}-\frac{2\sigma_0\overline{\sigma}_0}{P\bar{P}}r^2+O(r^3)]dzd\bar{z}, \qquad (7)\\
A_u =& (\phi_1^0+\overline{\phi}_1^0)r+(\overline{\eth}\phi_0^0+\eth\overline{\phi}_0^0)r^2+O(r^3), \qquad (8)\\
A_z =& A_z^0+\frac{\overline{\phi}_0^0}{\bar{P}}r+\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\overline{\phi}_0^1}{\bar{P}}-\frac{\phi_0^0\sigma_0}{\bar{P}})r^2+O(r^3), \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

where γ_0 is a real constant indicating the surface gravity and $\sigma_0=\frac{1}{2\gamma_0}(\tau_0^2-\eth\tau_0)$.

3 Asymptotic symmetries and conserved current near the isolated horizon

The asymptotic behavior of our solution (7) is consistent with the choice of [17], which means the Bondi-like coordinate is compatible with such boundary condition. In the following, we will work out the asymptotic symmetry of Einstein-Maxwell theory near

[2] The local existence for the characteristic initial value has been proven in [30] in a neighborhood in the future of the light cone for vacuum Einstein equations. Using similar method, it is not difficult to extend the local existence to Einstein-Maxwell equations with the conditions we have set on Maxwell fields.

isolated horizon with the gauge and boundary condition given as

$$g_{rr} = g_{rz} = g_{r\bar{z}} = A_r = 0, \;\; g_{ur} = 1, \tag{10}$$
$$g_{uu} = 4\gamma_0 r + O(r^2), \;\; g_{uz} = O(r), \;\; g_{u\bar{z}} = O(r), \;\; \partial_u g_{ab} = O(r^2), \tag{11}$$
$$A_u = O(r), \;\; A_z = O(1), \;\; A_{\bar{z}} = O(1), \;\; \partial_u A_\mu = O(r). \tag{12}$$

The gauge condition (10) will fix the asymptotic Killing vector and asymptotic $U(1)$ symmetry parameter ζ up to some integration constants

$$\begin{cases} \xi^u = f(u,z,\bar{z}), \\ \xi^r = R_r(u,z,\bar{z}) - r\partial_u f + \partial_z f \int dr\ (g^{zz}g_{uz} + g^{z\bar{z}}g_{u\bar{z}}) + \partial_{\bar{z}} f \int dr\ (g^{\bar{z}\bar{z}}g_{u\bar{z}} + g^{z\bar{z}}g_{uz}), \\ \xi^z = Y^z(u,z,\bar{z}) - \partial_z f \int dr\ g^{zz} - \partial_{\bar{z}} f \int dr\ g^{z\bar{z}}, \\ \xi^{\bar{z}} = Y^{\bar{z}}(u,z,\bar{z}) - \partial_z f \int dr\ g^{z\bar{z}} - \partial_{\bar{z}} f \int dr\ g^{\bar{z}\bar{z}}, \\ \zeta = \zeta_0(u,z,\bar{z}) + \int dr\ (A_z \partial_{\bar{z}} f + A_{\bar{z}} \partial_z f). \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Then, the boundary condition (11) and (12) will fix the u dependence of the integration constants. Hence, the asymptotic Killing vector and asymptotic $U(1)$ symmetry parameter ζ are further constrained to

$$\begin{cases} \xi^u = T(z,\bar{z}), \\ \xi^r = \partial_z T \int dr\ (g^{zz}g_{uz} + g^{z\bar{z}}g_{u\bar{z}}) + \partial_{\bar{z}} T \int dr\ (g^{\bar{z}\bar{z}}g_{u\bar{z}} + g^{z\bar{z}}g_{uz}) = O(r^2), \\ \xi^z = Y(z) - \partial_z T \int dr\ g^{zz} - \partial_{\bar{z}} T \int dr\ g^{z\bar{z}} = Y(z) + O(r), \\ \xi^z = \bar{Y}(\bar{z}) - \partial_{\bar{z}} T \int dr\ g^{\bar{z}\bar{z}} - \partial_z T \int dr\ g^{z\bar{z}} = \bar{Y}(\bar{z}) + O(r), \\ \zeta = \zeta(z,\bar{z}) + \int dr\ (A_z \partial_{\bar{z}} T + A_{\bar{z}} \partial_z T) = \zeta(z,\bar{z}) + O(r). \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Near horizon, the complete asymptotic symmetries of Einstein-Maxwell form a closed algebra as

$$[(\xi_1,\zeta_1),(\xi_2,\zeta_2)]_M = (\hat{\xi},\hat{\zeta}), \tag{15}$$
$$\hat{\xi} = [\xi_1,\xi_2], \;\; \hat{\zeta} = \xi_1(\zeta_2) - \xi_2(\zeta_1). \tag{16}$$

By turning off Maxwell fields, our asymptotic symmetry algebra will recover the one found in [17] (see also [31]). Following the strategy of [32], one can compute the conserved current associated to the asymptotic symmetries and the current is derived by[3]

$$\mathcal{J}^u_{\xi,\zeta} = -\frac{1}{P\bar{P}}\Big[2T\gamma_0 + Y[\frac{\overline{\tau}_0}{\bar{P}} + (\phi_1^0 + \overline{\phi}_1^0)A_z^0] + \bar{Y}[\frac{\tau_0}{P} + (\phi_1^0 + \overline{\phi}_1^0)A_{\bar{z}}^0] + \zeta(\phi_1^0 + \overline{\phi}_1^0)\Big], \tag{17}$$

$$\mathcal{J}^z = 0, \tag{18}$$

with a locally well-defined current algebra

$$\delta_{(\xi_2,\zeta_2)}\mathcal{J}^u_{(\xi_1,\zeta_1)} = \mathcal{J}^u_{(\hat{\xi},\hat{\zeta})} + \partial_a L^{[ua]}_{(\xi_1,\zeta_2),(\xi_2,\zeta_2)}, \tag{19}$$

[3]We have set $8\pi G = c = 1$ and the Lagrangian of Einstein-Maxwell theory is $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}(R + \frac{1}{2}F^2)$.

while

$$L^{[uz]}_{(\xi_1,\zeta_2),(\xi_2,\zeta_2)} = Y_2 \mathcal{J}^u_{(\xi_1,\zeta_1)}. \tag{20}$$

The asymptotic current can be adapted into a more consistent way

$$\mathcal{J}^u_{\xi,\zeta} = \frac{1}{P\bar{P}} \ \Big[\xi \cdot \omega + \xi \cdot \mathcal{A}(\phi^0_1 + \overline{\phi}^0_1) + \zeta(\phi^0_1 + \overline{\phi}^0_1)\Big], \tag{21}$$

where the extrinsic curvature one-form on the horizon is defined by

$$\omega_a \hat{=} l_b \nabla_a n^b \hat{=} -2\gamma_0 l_a + \tau_0 \overline{m}_a + \overline{\tau}_0 m_a$$

and $\xi \hat{=} Tn + \mathcal{Y}m + \overline{\mathcal{Y}}\overline{m}$ is the asymptotic Killing vector while

$$\mathcal{Y} = \frac{\bar{Y}(\bar{z})}{P}, \ \ \overline{\mathcal{Y}} = \frac{Y(z)}{\bar{P}}, \ \ \mathcal{A} = PA^0_{\bar{z}}\overline{m} + \bar{P}A^0_z m.$$

Amazingly, the current associated with supertranslation gets no contribution from electromagnetic fields. This reveals the fact that the electromagnetic fields become soft on the isolated horizon and do not contribute to black hole energy which is consistent with the statement in [16]. The superrotation parts indeed have been modified from the polarization of the soft photons.

4 Soft electric hairs on isolated horizon

In this section we will deal with a special catalogue of solution with more concrete physical interpretation. The isolated horizon is spherically symmetric and has unit radius, *i.e.* $P = \bar{P} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + z\bar{z})$ and $\tau_0 = 0$. Electromagnetic fields are not vanishing near the horizon. This case can be considered as the later state of electromagnetic fields around a Schwarzschild black hole with mass $M = \frac{1}{2}$. Back-scattered radiation can be neglected but wave can still radiate in the region far away from the horizon, eventually will be scattered at null infinity. This specification will lead to a globally well-defined horizon charge from the conserved current respecting to asymptotic $U(1)$ symmetry and supertranslation. The charge associated to asymptotic $U(1)$ symmetry will be deduced to

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{H}}_{\zeta} = \int_{S^2} d\Omega^2 \zeta(\phi^0_1 + \overline{\phi}^0_1), \tag{22}$$

where $d\Omega^2$ is the unit spherical surface element. By expanding the asymptotic $U(1)$ symmetry parameter ζ in spherical harmonics

$$\zeta = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \sum_{g=-h}^{h} \zeta_{h,g} Y_{h,g}(z, \bar{z}),$$

one can further define the modes of the horizon charge as

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{H}}_{\zeta_{h,g}} = \int_{S^2} d\Omega^2 (\phi^0_1 + \overline{\phi}^0_1) Y_{h,g}. \tag{23}$$

Interestingly, the modes we have defined in (23) are equivalent to the electric multipoles introduced in [25]. The supertranslation charges will vanish except the zero mode which becomes a combination proportional to the surface gravity multiplying the horizon area [17, 18]. This also has a counterpart from the mass multipoles in [25], where the mass monopole M_0 is the only non-zero mass multipoles of a spherically symmetric isolated horizon. Thus, one immediately application of the soft electric hairs is to capture the electric multipoles information on the horizon[4]. This indeed confirms that the later stage of a black hole collapse carries infinite numbers of soft electric hairs. As we have shown in the previous section that the electromagnetic fields become soft on the isolated horizon, the modes (23) can be understood as soft photons located on the horizon during the dynamical process before the isolated horizon formed. As shown in Section 2, the Maxwell fields are fully characterized by the electromagnetic fields A_z^0 and the real part of ϕ_1^0. To track the whole information of the electromagnetic fields, one still needs to consult to the local information from the superrotation current.

The isolated horizon is admitted by a black hole who itself is in equilibrium but whose exterior contains radiation (*i.e.* the whole spacetime is not yet stationary). The huge amount of classical charges we have introduced on the isolated horizon have nothing to violate the no hair theorem [22] because it is only valid when the whole spacetime is stationary. One may wonder whether the charges will act on a quantum state trivially or not, which would be equivalent to asking the asymptotic $U(1)$ symmetry is spontaneously broken or not. As far as we can understand, it's not necessary to have the asymptotic $U(1)$ symmetry spontaneously broken. The reason is that the system is already in equilibrium around the isolated horizon. There will be no longer photon or soft photon reaching the horizon. Thus, the system has no interaction and becomes a free theory. Hence, the quantum states are supposed to be the engine states of the quantized operators $\mathcal{Q}_{h,g}^{\mathcal{H}}$. This can be also seen from the fact that the horizon charges, we have defined in (22), do not include a soft part compared to the one defined in [11]. However, this symmetry will be broken by the appearance of radiation crossing the horizon. It will be highly interesting to investigate the charges on a dynamical horizon [34]. One could expect that it will be very similar to the case of null infinity where the asymptotic charges have both the hard part and the soft part. Accordingly these charges will act on quantum states non-trivially.

5 Supertranslation and foliation of an isolated horizon

We would like to have a deeper investigation on the action of the supertranslation on the horizon. A supertranslation will preserve the induced horizon metric, which is degenerate, and the null normal vector n. But the extrinsic curvature one-form will be

[4]In [33], multipoles are served as required parameters in the construction of the ensemble to calculate the black hole entropy.

transformed like the gradient of a scalar

$$\omega'_a \to \omega_a - 2\gamma_0 df. \tag{24}$$

Such a transformation is related to the ambiguity of the foliation of an isolated horizon which was discussed in [25, 29].

Let's consider a non-extremal($\gamma_0 \neq 0$) isolated horizon $(\mathcal{H}, n)$. We will ignore the Maxwell fields and focus only on the horizon geometry determined by the induced metric q_{ab} and the induced derivative operator D in this section. Then a fixed cross-section S of $\mathcal{H}$ can be treated as a leaf of a foliation u =constant such that $n^a D_a u = 1$ and the normal l_a of this foliation can be set as $l_a = D_a u$ with $l_a n^a = 1$. A projection operator $\tilde{q}_a^b$ on the leaves of the foliation is defined by $\tilde{q}_a^b = \delta_a^b - l_a n^b$. Since q_{ab} is degenerate, D can not be fully determined by q_{ab}. But on the cross-section S, one has a unique (torsion-free) derivative operator $\hat{D}$ compatible with $\hat{q}_{ab}$ the projection of q_{ab} on S. To determine the derivative operator D on the horizon, one only needs to specify its action on l_a[5]. Let's define $S_{ab} := D_a l_b$ who satisfies $S_{ab} n^b \hat{=} -\omega^a$ on the horizon. Then the horizon geometry is completely specified by the triplet $(q_{ab}, \omega_a, S_{ab})$.

Suppose the triplet $(q_{ab}, \omega_a, S_{ab})$ is given on the horizon with one foliation u =constant, hence on cross-section S, the free data $(\hat{q}_{ab}, \hat{\omega}_a, \hat{S}_{ab})$ can be derived by the projection operator from the horizon $\mathcal{H}$ as

$$\hat{q}_{ab} = q_{ab}, \tag{25}$$
$$\hat{\omega}_a = \omega_a + 2\gamma_0 l_a, \tag{26}$$
$$\hat{S}_{ab} = S_{ab} + l_a\omega_b + \omega_a l_b + 2\gamma_0 l_a l_b. \tag{27}$$

Let's now consider another cross section S' which does not belong to the same foliation. One can choose u' =constant as the corresponding foliation. Let $f = u' - u$ and $\mathcal{L}_n f = 0$. The two sets of free data are related by

$$\hat{q}_{ab} = \hat{q'}_{ab}, \tag{28}$$
$$\hat{\omega}_a = \hat{\omega'}_a - 2\gamma_0 df, \tag{29}$$
$$\hat{S}_{ab} = \hat{S'}_{ab} + D_a D_b f. \tag{30}$$

This is the ambiguity of choosing foliation of an isolated horizon. This ambiguity can be also understood in an inverse way that the same set of free data can create different foliation. The difference of ω_a matches precisely a supertranslation transformation on the horizon given in (24) in the beginning of this section.

The study of null infinity reveals an fascinating relation between the supertranslation and the memory effect. It seems quite promising that there should exist the analogical memory effect on the horizon. The memory effect is non-zero change of asymptotic shear, which is caused by some dynamical processes. Such result has been realized by a supertranslation at null infinity recently in [26]. Similar things also happen on a horizon.

[5]The action of D on n^a is $D_a n^b \hat{=} \omega_a n^b$.

As discussed previously, the foliation of an isolated horizon has some ambiguities and different foliations are related by supertranslation on the horizon. A foliation of an isolated horizon can be connected to another one by a dynamical process before it formed. Since the foliation of dynamical horizon is unique [35], the final foliation of isolated horizon is fixed by continuity condition, *i.e.* different foliation corresponds to different dynamical process of black hole. This is quite similar to what happens at null infinity. It would be definitely worthwhile to investigate such an effect elsewhere.

6 Discussion

We hope to have convinced the readers interested in the recent proposal in [16] that the near horizon physics is much richer then what we have understood. We have shown precisely that there are actually a large amount of soft electric hairs on the isolated horizon. Now we would like to comment on the supertranslation hairs and superrotation hairs. We will focus on pure gravity case. But the way of taking consider of the contribution from electromagnetic fields is obvious. The main difference between null infinity and isolated horizon in asymptotic analysis is the fact that null infinity is a conformal object. Hence, null infinity can be always set to $S^2 \times R$. This choice will not break superrotation since the conformal transformation can be compensated by rescaling in r. But isolated horizon has to be $\mathbb{S} \times R$ to include superrotation as near horizon symmetries where $\mathbb{S}$ is conformally S^2. The difficulty of defining supertranslation or superrotation modes are from the fact that a complete basis is not known for expansion on a generic 2-manifold. In practice, we just integrate the current (17) on a unit sphere[6]

$$\mathcal{Q}_\xi = \int \mathrm{d}\Omega^2 \; 2T\gamma_0\Theta + Y\Xi\Theta + \bar{Y}\overline{\Xi}\Theta, \tag{31}$$

where $\overline{\Xi} = \frac{\tau_0}{P}, \Xi = \frac{\overline{\tau}_0}{P}$ and $\Theta = -\frac{(1+z\bar{z})^2}{2P\bar{P}}$. Essentially, the supertranslation and superrotation hairs are indicating information of the geometry (*i.e.* the intrinsic and extrinsic curvature of $\mathbb{S}$) and topology (*i.e.* punctures on $\mathbb{S}$) of the isolated horizon. The supertranslation and superrotation hairs would be related to the geometric multipoles defined in [25]. Because the near horizon symmetry algebra (19) is not Abelian, soft hairs were shown to be implanted by finite transformation in [20].

Another very meaningful open question is that if those soft hairs can be observed from the region not near horizon. Alternatively we are asking if the near horizon symmetry can be extended to the region not very close to the horizon. Recent investigations [36] on three dimensional pure Einstein gravity has enhanced the asymptotic symmetry to symplectic symmetry which allows one to define conserved charges anywhere in the bulk (see also [37] for pure Maxwell case). The crucial step to promote asymptotic symmetry to symplectic symmetry was observed in [38] that the asymptotic conserved charges are r-independent. The solution space (7)-(9) allows us to check the electric charge easily and the charge is still r-dependent. However we still have hope in

[6]Integration on Riemann sphere was used in [17].

extending the near horizon symmetry to the region away from the horizon (the emergence of symplectic symmetry would be expected). As shown in [39], the sub-leading pieces of the charge can have their own physical effects when one acts the charge on a quantum state. Naively, the subleading contributions can be understood as the effect of local degree of freedom in the bulk in the case that it is not very close to the boundary. In particular, the vanishing of the subleading pieces of the charge in [38] is a consequence of the absence of local degree of freedom in three dimensional pure Einstein gravity. It would be very interesting to investigate the physical effect of the subleading pieces of the charge in the near horizon case elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11575286, 11475179, and 11675015), by the Fund for Scientific Research-FNRS (Belgium), by IISN-Belgium, and by "Communauté française de Belgique - Actions de Recherche Concertées". P. Mao is supported by a PhD fellowship from the China Scholarship Council. He thanks G. Barnich, L. Donnay, G. Giribet, H. González, R.Li, J. Long, and Y. Zhang for useful discussions. H. Zhang is supported in part by the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office through the Interuniversity Attraction Pole P7/37, by FWO-Vlaanderen through the project G020714N, by the Vrije Universiteit Brussel through the Strategic Research Program "High-Energy Physics". He is also an individual FWO Fellow supported by 12G3515N.

A Solution space

In a Bondi-like coordinate $(u, r, z, \bar{z})$, the standard Newman-Penrose prescription can always have the following ansatz:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&l^\mu = [0,1,0,0], \quad n^\mu = [1, U, X^A], \quad m^\mu = [0, \omega, L^A].\\
&l_\mu = [1,0,0,0], \quad n_\mu = [-U - X^A(\bar{\omega} L_A + \omega \bar{L}_A), 1, \omega \bar{L}_A + \bar{\omega} L_A],\\
&m_\mu = [-X^A L_A, 0, L_A].\\
&\kappa = \pi = \epsilon = 0, \quad \rho = \bar{\rho}, \quad \tau = \bar{\alpha} + \beta.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L^A L_A = 0, L^A \bar{L}_A = -1$. Under this gauge choice, the Newman-Penrose equations can be solved out recursively. We would refer directly the number of equations in [28]. Firstly, the solutions on the horizon can be worked out through hypersurface equations (305), (306), (310.j)-(310.r), Bianchi identities (321.f)-(321.h) and Maxwell equations (333). Then, apart from Ψ_0, ϕ_0 who have to be given at any order of r as initial data, the asymptotic r dependence of all the rest variables can be calculated by the radial equations (303), (304), (309), (310.a)-(310.r), Bianchi identities (321.a)-(321.d) and Maxwell equations (330)-(331). Finally, (324) will determine Maxwell potential A_μ under the gauge condition we have chosen.

The full solutions are listed up to order $O(r^3)$ as following:

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 r + \gamma_2 r^2 + O(r^3), \quad \gamma_0 \text{ is a real constant.} \tag{32}$$
$$\gamma_1 = \alpha_0\tau_0 + \beta_0\overline{\tau}_0 + \Psi_2^0 + \phi_1^0\overline{\phi}_1^0,$$
$$\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_0\alpha_1 + \tau_1\alpha_0 + \overline{\tau}_0\beta_1 + \overline{\tau}_1\beta_0 + \Psi_2^1 + \phi_1^0\overline{\phi}_1^0 + \phi_1^1\overline{\phi}_1^0),$$
$$\tau = \tau_0 + \tau_1 r + \tau_2 r^2 + O(r^3), \quad \tau_1 = \Psi_1^0 + \phi_0^0\overline{\phi}_1^0, \tag{33}$$
$$\tau_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_0\rho_1 + \tau_1\rho_0 + \overline{\tau}_0\sigma_1 + \overline{\tau}_1\sigma_0\Psi_1^1 + \phi_0^1\overline{\phi}_0^0\phi_0^0\overline{\phi}_0^1),$$
$$\rho = \rho_0 + \rho_1 r + \rho_2 r^2 + O(r^3), \tag{34}$$
$$\rho_0 = \frac{1}{4\gamma_0}[\Psi_2^0 + \overline{\Psi}_2^0 - \eth\overline{\tau}_0 - \overline{\eth}\tau_0 + 2\tau_0\overline{\tau}_0], \quad \rho_1 = \phi_0^0\overline{\phi}_0^0,$$
$$\rho_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_0\overline{\sigma}_1 + \sigma_1\overline{\sigma}_0 + 2\rho_0\rho_1 + \phi_0^1\overline{\phi}_0^0 + \phi_0^0\overline{\phi}_0^1),$$
$$\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 r + \sigma_2 r^2 + O(r^3), \quad \sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2\gamma_0}[\tau_0^2 - \eth\tau_0], \quad \sigma_1 = \Psi_0^0, \tag{35}$$
$$\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2}(2\sigma_0\rho_1 + 2\sigma_1\rho_0 + \Psi_0^1),$$
$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r + \alpha_2 r^2 + O(r^3), \quad \alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\tau}_0 + \overline{\delta}\ln P), \quad \alpha_1 = \phi_1^0\overline{\phi}_0^0, \tag{36}$$
$$\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_0\alpha_1 + \rho_1\alpha_0 + \overline{\sigma}_0\beta_1 + \overline{\sigma}_1\beta_0 + \phi_1^1\overline{\phi}_0^0 + \phi_1^0\overline{\phi}_0^1),$$
$$\beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 r + \beta_2 r^2 + O(r^3), \quad \beta_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_0 - \delta\ln\bar{P}), \quad \beta_1 = \Psi_1^0, \tag{37}$$
$$\beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0\sigma_1 + \alpha_1\sigma_0 + \Psi_1^1),$$
$$\mu = \Psi_2^0 r + \frac{1}{2}\Psi_2^1 r^2 + O(r^3), \tag{38}$$
$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1\overline{\sigma}_0 + \phi_2^1\overline{\phi}_0^0)r^2 + O(r^3), \tag{39}$$
$$\nu = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1\overline{\tau}_0 + \Psi_3^1 + \phi_2^1\overline{\phi}_1^0)r^2 + O(r^3), \tag{40}$$
$$\phi_0 = \phi_0^0 + \phi_0^1 r + O(r^2), \tag{41}$$
$$\phi_1 = \phi_1^0 + \phi_1^1 r + \phi_1^2 r^2 + O(r^3), \quad \phi_1^1 = \overline{\eth}\phi_0^0 - \tau_0\phi_0^0, \tag{42}$$
$$\phi_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\eth}\phi_0^1 - \overline{\tau}_0\phi_0^1 - 2\alpha_1\phi_0^0 + 2\rho_1\phi_1^0),$$
$$\phi_2 = \overline{\eth}\phi_2^0 r + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\eth}\phi_1^1 r^2 + O(r^3), \tag{43}$$
$$\Psi_0 = \Psi_0^0 + \Psi_0^1 + O(r^2), \tag{44}$$
$$\Psi_1 = \Psi_1^0 + \Psi_1^1 r + \Psi_1^2 r^2 + O(r^3), \tag{45}$$
$$\Psi_1^0 = \overline{\eth}\sigma_0 - \eth\rho_0 - \sigma_0\overline{\tau}_0 + \rho_0\tau_0 + \phi_0^0\overline{\phi}_1^0,$$
$$\Psi_1^1 = \overline{\eth}\sigma_1 - \eth\rho_1 - \sigma_1\overline{\tau}_0 + \rho_1\tau_0 - \sigma_0\overline{\tau}_1 + \rho_0\tau_1 + \phi_0^1\overline{\phi}_1^0 + \phi_0^0\overline{\phi}_1^1,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_1^2 &= \overline{\eth}\sigma_2 - \eth\rho_2 + \rho_0\tau_2 + \rho_2\tau_0 + \rho_1\tau_1 - 2(\alpha_2 - \overline{\beta}_2)\sigma_0 - 2(\alpha_1 - \overline{\beta}_1)\sigma_1, \\
&= -\overline{\tau}_2\sigma_0 - \overline{\tau}_1\sigma_1 - \overline{\tau}_0\sigma_2 + \phi_0^0\overline{\phi}_1^2 + \phi_0^1\overline{\phi}_1^1 + \phi_0^2\overline{\phi}_1^0, \\
\Psi_2 &= \Psi_2^0 + \Psi_2^1 r + \Psi_2^2 r^2 + O(r^3), \qquad (46) \\
\Psi_2^0 &= \phi_1^0\overline{\phi}_1^0 - \frac{R}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\eth}\tau_0 - \eth\overline{\tau}_0), \\
\Psi_2^1 &= \phi_1^1\overline{\phi}_1^0 + \phi_1^0\overline{\phi}_1^1 + \overline{\eth}\beta_1 - \eth\alpha_1 + \alpha_0\overline{\alpha}_1 + \beta_0\overline{\beta}_1 - \alpha_0\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_0, \\
\Psi_2^2 &= \overline{\eth}\beta_2 - \eth\alpha_2 + \rho_1\mu_1 + \rho_0\mu_2 - \sigma_0\lambda_2 + \alpha_0\overline{\alpha}_2 + \alpha_1\overline{\alpha}_1 + \beta_0\overline{\beta}_2 + \beta_1\overline{\beta}_1, \\
&= -\alpha_0\overline{\beta}_2 - \alpha_2\beta_0 - 2\alpha_1\beta_1 + \phi_1^0\overline{\phi}_1^2 + \phi_1^1\overline{\phi}_1^1 + \phi_1^2\overline{\phi}_1^0, \\
\Psi_3 &= \Psi_3^1 r + \Psi_3^2 r^2 + O(r^3), \quad \Psi_3^1 = \overline{\eth}\mu_1 + \mu_1\overline{\tau}_0 + \phi_2^1\overline{\phi}_1^0, \qquad (47) \\
\Psi_3^2 &= \overline{\eth}\mu_2 - \eth\lambda_2 + \mu_1\overline{\tau}_1 + \mu_2\overline{\tau}_0 - \tau_0\lambda_2 + \phi_2^1\overline{\phi}_1^1 + \phi_2^2\overline{\phi}_1^0, \\
\Psi_4 &= \Psi_4^2 r^2 + O(r^3), \qquad (48) \\
\Psi_4^2 &= \overline{\eth}\nu_2 - \frac{1}{2}\phi_2^1(\overline{\eth\phi}_1^0 - 2\overline{\tau}_0\overline{\phi}_1^0 + 2\gamma_0\overline{\phi}_0^0) - 2\gamma_0\lambda_2 + \overline{\tau}_0\nu_2, \\
X^z &= \tau_0\bar{P}r + \frac{1}{2}(\tau_1\bar{P} + \overline{\tau}_0\sigma_0\bar{P})r^2 + O(r^3), \qquad (49) \\
X^{\bar{z}} &= \overline{\tau}_0 P r + \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\tau}_1 P + \tau_0\overline{\sigma}_0 P)r^2 + O(r^3), \qquad (50) \\
\omega &= -\tau_0 r + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_0\overline{\omega}_0 - \tau_1)r^2 + O(r^3), \qquad (51) \\
U &= -2\gamma_0 r + \frac{1}{2}(\tau_0\overline{\omega}_1 + \overline{\tau}_0\omega_1 - \gamma_1 - \overline{\gamma}_1)r^2 + O(r^3), \qquad (52) \\
L^z &= \sigma_0\bar{P}r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1\bar{P}r^2 + O(r^3), \quad L^{\bar{z}} = P + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_0\overline{\sigma}_0 P r^2 + O(r^3), \qquad (53) \\
\overline{L}^z &= \bar{P} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\sigma}_0\sigma_0\bar{P}r^2 + O(r^3), \quad \overline{L}^{\bar{z}} = \overline{\sigma}_0 P r + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\sigma}_1 P r^2 + O(r^3), \qquad (54) \\
L_z &= -\frac{1}{\bar{P}} - \frac{\sigma_0\overline{\sigma}_0}{2\bar{P}}r^2 + O(r^3), \quad L_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\sigma_0}{P}r + \frac{\sigma_1}{2P}r^2 + O(r^3), \qquad (55) \\
\overline{L}_z &= \frac{\overline{\sigma}_0}{\bar{P}}r + \frac{\overline{\sigma}_1}{2\bar{P}}r^2 + O(r^3), \quad \overline{L}_{\bar{z}} = -\frac{1}{P} - \frac{\sigma_0\overline{\sigma}_0}{2P}r^2 + O(r^3), \qquad (56) \\
A_u &= (\phi_1^0 + \overline{\phi}_1^0)r + (\phi_1^1 + \overline{\phi}_1^1 + \tau_0\phi_0^0 + \overline{\tau}_0\overline{\phi}_0^0)r^2 + O(r^3), \qquad (57) \\
A_z &= A_z^0 + \frac{\overline{\phi}_0^0}{\bar{P}}r + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\overline{\phi}_0^1}{\bar{P}} - \frac{\phi_0^0\sigma_0}{\bar{P}})r^2 + O(r^3), \quad \partial_{\bar{z}}A_z^0 - \partial_z A_{\bar{z}}^0 = \frac{\overline{\phi}_1^0 - \phi_1^0}{P\bar{P}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_0, P, \phi_1^0, A_z^0$ are arbitrary functions depending on $(z, \bar{z})$ only, and $R = 2(\delta\overline{\delta}\ln P + \overline{\delta}\delta\ln\bar{P} - 2\overline{\delta}\ln P\delta\ln\bar{P}) = 2\bar{P}P\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\ln\bar{P}P$ is the scalar curvature of $\mathbb{S}$. τ_0 is the extrinsic curvature of the $\mathbb{S}$ on the horizon. The $\eth$ operator is defined by $\eth\eta = \delta\eta + s\delta\ln\bar{P}\eta$ and $\overline{\eth}\eta = \overline{\delta}\eta - s\overline{\delta}\ln P\eta$ where s is the spin weight of the field η and the spin weight of relevant fields are listed in Table 1. The time evolution of Ψ_0 and ϕ_0 are fully controlled by (321.e) and (332).

Table 1: Spin weights

	$\eth$	τ	α	β	ρ	γ	ν	μ	σ	λ	Ψ_4^0	Ψ_3^0	Ψ_2^0	Ψ_1^0	Ψ_0^0	$\mathcal{Y}$
s	1	1	-1	1	0	0	-1	0	2	-2	-2	-1	0	1	2	-1

References

[1] H. Bondi, M. G. J. van der Burg, and A. W. K. Metzner, "Gravitational waves in general relativity. 7. Waves from axisymmetric isolated systems," *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **A269** (1962) 21–52. R. K. Sachs, "Gravitational waves in general relativity. 8. Waves in asymptotically flat space-times," *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **A270** (1962) 103–126. R. Sachs, "Asymptotic symmetries in gravitational theory," *Phys. Rev.* **128** (1962) 2851–2864.

[2] E. T. Newman and R. Penrose, "Note on the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs group," *J. Math. Phys.* **7** (1966) 863–870. P. J. M. Mccarthy, "Asymptotically flat space-times and elementary particles," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **29** (1972) 817–819. M. Crampin, "Physical significance of the topology of the bondi-metzner-sachs group," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **33** (1974) 547–550. L. Girardello and G. Parravicini, "Continuous spins in the bondi-metzner-sachs group of asymptotic symmetry in general relativity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32** (1974) 565–568. A. Piard, "Representations of the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Group with the Hilbert Topology," *Rept. Math. Phys.* **11** (1977) 279–283. G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, "Symmetries of asymptotically flat 4 dimensional spacetimes at null infinity revisited," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 111103, `arXiv:0909.2617 [gr-qc]`. G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, "Aspects of the BMS/CFT correspondence," *JHEP* **05** (2010) 062, `arXiv:1001.1541 [hep-th]`. G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, "BMS charge algebra," *JHEP* **12** (2011) 105, `arXiv:1106.0213 [hep-th]`. G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, "Finite BMS transformations," *JHEP* **03** (2016) 167, `arXiv:1601.04090 [gr-qc]`. G. Compère and J. Long, "Vacua of the gravitational field," *JHEP* **07** (2016) 137, `arXiv:1601.04958 [hep-th]`.

[3] J.-L. Gervais, B. Sakita, and S. Wadia, "The Surface Term in Gauge Theories," *Phys. Lett.* **B63** (1976) 55.

[4] T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, "Role of Surface Integrals in the Hamiltonian Formulation of General Relativity," *Annals Phys.* **88** (1974) 286. R. Benguria, P. Cordero, and C. Teitelboim, "Aspects of the Hamiltonian Dynamics of Interacting Gravitational Gauge and Higgs Fields with Applications to Spherical Symmetry," *Nucl. Phys.* **B122** (1977) 61.

[5] A. Ashtekar and R. O. Hansen, "A unified treatment of null and spatial infinity in general relativity. I - Universal structure, asymptotic symmetries, and conserved quantities at spatial infinity," *J. Math. Phys.* **19** (1978) 1542–1566.

[6] R. Geroch, "Asymptotic structure of space-time," in *Asymptotic structure of space-time*, P. Esposito and L. Witten, ed., ch. 1, pp. 1–105. Plenum, New York, 1977.

[7] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, "Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207–226.

[8] A. Strominger, "Black hole entropy from near horizon microstates," *JHEP* **02** (1998) 009, arXiv:hep-th/9712251 [hep-th].

[9] A. Strominger, "On BMS Invariance of Gravitational Scattering," *JHEP* **07** (2014) 152, arXiv:1312.2229 [hep-th]. T. He, V. Lysov, P. Mitra, and A. Strominger, "BMS supertranslations and Weinberg's soft graviton theorem," *JHEP* **05** (2015) 151, arXiv:1401.7026 [hep-th].

[10] S. Weinberg, "Infrared photons and gravitons," *Phys. Rev.* **140** (1965) B516–B524.

[11] T. He, P. Mitra, A. P. Porfyriadis, and A. Strominger, "New Symmetries of Massless QED," *JHEP* **10** (2014) 112, arXiv:1407.3789 [hep-th].

[12] A. Strominger, "Asymptotic Symmetries of Yang-Mills Theory," *JHEP* **07** (2014) 151, arXiv:1308.0589 [hep-th]. V. Lysov, S. Pasterski, and A. Strominger, "Low's Subleading Soft Theorem as a Symmetry of QED," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** no. 11, (2014) 111601, arXiv:1407.3814 [hep-th]. D. Kapec, V. Lysov, and A. Strominger, "Asymptotic Symmetries of Massless QED in Even Dimensions," arXiv:1412.2763 [hep-th]. M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, "Asymptotic symmetries of QED and Weinberg's soft photon theorem," *JHEP* **07** (2015) 115, arXiv:1505.05346 [hep-th]. D. Kapec, M. Pate, and A. Strominger, "New Symmetries of QED," arXiv:1506.02906 [hep-th]. A. Strominger, "Magnetic Corrections to the Soft Photon Theorem," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** no. 3, (2016) 031602, arXiv:1509.00543 [hep-th]. T. He, P. Mitra, and A. Strominger, "2D Kac-Moody Symmetry of 4D Yang-Mills Theory," *JHEP* **10** (2016) 137, arXiv:1503.02663 [hep-th]. T. T. Dumitrescu, T. He, P. Mitra, and A. Strominger, "Infinite-Dimensional Fermionic Symmetry in Supersymmetric Gauge Theories," arXiv:1511.07429 [hep-th]. M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, "Subleading soft photons and large gauge transformations," *JHEP* **11** (2016) 012, arXiv:1605.09677 [hep-th].

[13] D. Christodoulou and S. Klainerman, *The Global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.

[14] S. W. Hawking, "Breakdown of Predictability in Gravitational Collapse," *Phys. Rev.* **D14** (1976) 2460–2473.

[15] S. W. Hawking, "The Information Paradox for Black Holes," 2015. arXiv:1509.01147 [hep-th].

[16] S. W. Hawking, M. J. Perry, and A. Strominger, "Soft Hair on Black Holes," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** no. 23, (2016) 231301, arXiv:1601.00921 [hep-th].

[17] L. Donnay, G. Giribet, H. A. Gonzalez, and M. Pino, "Supertranslations and Superrotations at the Black Hole Horizon," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** no. 9, (2016) 091101, arXiv:1511.08687 [hep-th].

[18] C. Eling and Y. Oz, "On the Membrane Paradigm and Spontaneous Breaking of Horizon BMS Symmetries," *JHEP* **07** (2016) 065, arXiv:1605.00183 [hep-th].

[19] A. Averin, G. Dvali, C. Gomez, and D. Lust, "Gravitational Black Hole Hair from Event Horizon Supertranslations," *JHEP* **06** (2016) 088, arXiv:1601.03725 [hep-th]. G. t. Hooft, "Black hole unitarity and antipodal entanglement," arXiv:1601.03447 [gr-qc]. G. Compère and J. Long, "Classical static final state of collapse with supertranslation memory," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** no. 19, (2016) 195001, arXiv:1602.05197 [gr-qc]. D. Kapec, A.-M. Raclariu, and A. Strominger, "Area, Entanglement Entropy and Supertranslations at Null Infinity," arXiv:1603.07706 [hep-th]. M. Hotta, J. Trevison, and K. Yamaguchi, "Gravitational Memory Charges of Supertranslation and Superrotation on Rindler Horizons," *Phys. Rev.* **D94** no. 8, (2016) 083001, arXiv:1606.02443 [gr-qc].

[20] S. W. Hawking, M. J. Perry, and A. Strominger, "Superrotation Charge and Supertranslation Hair on Black Holes," arXiv:1611.09175 [hep-th].

[21] M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari and H. Yavartanoo, "On 3d bulk geometry of Virasoro coadjoint orbits: orbit invariant charges and Virasoro hair on locally AdS_3 geometries," *Eur. Phys. J.* **C76** no. 9, (2016) 493, arXiv:1603.05272 [hep-th]. M. Blau and M. O'Loughlin, "Horizon Shells: Classical Structure at the Horizon of a Black Hole," *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D25** no. 12, (2016) 1644010, arXiv:1604.01181 [hep-th]. H. Afshar, D. Grumiller, and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Black Hole Horizon Fluffs: Near Horizon Soft Hairs as Microstates of Three Dimensional Black Holes," arXiv:1607.00009 [hep-th]. D. Grumiller, A. Perez, S. Prohazka, D. Tempo, and R. Troncoso, "Higher Spin Black Holes with Soft Hair," *JHEP* **10** (2016) 119, arXiv:1607.05360 [hep-th]. V. Cardoso and L. Gualtieri, "Testing the black hole 'no-hair' hypothesis," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** no. 17, (2016) 174001,

arXiv:1607.03133 [gr-qc]. L. Donnay, G. Giribet, H. A. González, and M. Pino, "Extended Symmetries at the Black Hole Horizon," *JHEP* **09** (2016) 100, arXiv:1607.05703 [hep-th]. M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari and H. Yavartanoo, "Horizon Fluffs: Near Horizon Soft Hairs as Microstates of Generic AdS3 Black Holes," arXiv:1608.01293 [hep-th]. S. Carlip, "The Dynamics of Supertranslations and Superrotations in 2+1 Dimensions," arXiv:1608.05088 [gr-qc]. R.-G. Cai, S.-M. Ruan, and Y.-L. Zhang, "Horizon supertranslation and degenerate black hole solutions," *JHEP* **09** (2016) 163, arXiv:1609.01056 [gr-qc]. A. Strominger and A. Zhiboedov, "Superrotations and Black Hole Pair Creation," arXiv:1610.00639 [hep-th]. C. Shi and J. Mei, "Extended Symmetries at Black Hole Horizons in Generic Dimensions," arXiv:1611.09491 [gr-qc]. H. Afshar, D. Grumiller, W. Merbis, A. Perez, D. Tempo, and R. Troncoso, "Soft hairy horizons in three spacetime dimensions," arXiv:1611.09783 [hep-th]. E. Bergshoeff, D. Grumiller, S. Prohazka, and J. Rosseel, "Three-dimensional Spin-3 Theories Based on General Kinematical Algebras," *JHEP* **01** (2017) 114, arXiv:1612.02277 [hep-th]. K. Hajian and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Redundant and Physical Black Hole Parameters: Is there an independent physical dilaton charge?," arXiv:1612.09279 [hep-th].

[22] P. T. Chrusciel, J. L. Costa, and M. Heusler, "Stationary Black Holes: Uniqueness and Beyond," *Living Rev. Rel.* **15** (2012) 7, arXiv:1205.6112 [gr-qc].

[23] A. Ashtekar, C. Beetle, and S. Fairhurst, "Isolated horizons: A Generalization of black hole mechanics," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16** (1999) L1–L7, arXiv:gr-qc/9812065 [gr-qc].

[24] A. Ashtekar, C. Beetle, and J. Lewandowski, "Mechanics of rotating isolated horizons," *Phys. Rev.* **D64** (2001) 044016, arXiv:gr-qc/0103026 [gr-qc].

[25] A. Ashtekar, J. Engle, T. Pawlowski, and C. Van Den Broeck, "Multipole moments of isolated horizons," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** (2004) 2549–2570, arXiv:gr-qc/0401114 [gr-qc].

[26] A. Strominger and A. Zhiboedov, "Gravitational Memory, BMS Supertranslations and Soft Theorems," *JHEP* **01** (2016) 086, arXiv:1411.5745 [hep-th].

[27] E. Newman and R. Penrose, "An Approach to gravitational radiation by a method of spin coefficients," *J. Math. Phys.* **3** (1962) 566–578.

[28] S. Chandrasekhar, "The Newman-Penrose formalism," in *The mathematical theory of black holes*, ch. 1, pp. 40–55. Oxford, UK, 1983.

[29] A. Ashtekar, C. Beetle, and J. Lewandowski, "Geometry of generic isolated horizons," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 1195–1225, arXiv:gr-qc/0111067 [gr-qc].

[30] J. Luk, "On the Local Existence for the Characteristic Initial Value Problem in General Relativity," *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **20** (2012) 4625, arXiv:1107.0898 [gr-qc].

[31] L. Donnay, *Symmetries and dynamics for non-AdS backgrounds in three-dimensional gravity*. PhD thesis, Brussels U., PTM, 2016. https://inspirehep.net/record/1497160/files/12086c_70fa83502f244cdb8b5dc3328f6e998

[32] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, "Comments on holographic current algebras and asymptotically flat four dimensional spacetimes at null infinity," *JHEP* **11** (2013) 003, arXiv:1309.0794 [hep-th].

[33] A. Ashtekar, J. Engle, and C. Van Den Broeck, "Quantum horizons and black hole entropy: Inclusion of distortion and rotation," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005) L27–L34, arXiv:gr-qc/0412003 [gr-qc].

[34] A. Ashtekar and B. Krishnan, "Dynamical horizons and their properties," *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 104030, arXiv:gr-qc/0308033 [gr-qc].

[35] A. Ashtekar and G. J. Galloway, "Some uniqueness results for dynamical horizons," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **9** no. 1, (2005) 1–30, arXiv:gr-qc/0503109 [gr-qc].

[36] G. Compère, K. Hajian, A. Seraj, and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Extremal Rotating Black Holes in the Near-Horizon Limit: Phase Space and Symmetry Algebra," *Phys. Lett.* **B749** (2015) 443–447, arXiv:1503.07861 [hep-th]. G. Compère, K. Hajian, A. Seraj, and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Wiggling Throat of Extremal Black Holes," *JHEP* **10** (2015) 093, arXiv:1506.07181 [hep-th]. G. Compère, P. Mao, A. Seraj, and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Symplectic and Killing symmetries of AdS_3 gravity: holographic vs boundary gravitons," *JHEP* **01** (2016) 080, arXiv:1511.06079 [hep-th]. M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Residual Diffeomorphisms and Symplectic Softs Hairs: The Need to Refine Strict Statement of Equivalence Principle," *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D25** no. 12, (2016) 1644019, arXiv:1603.07862 [hep-th].

[37] A. Seraj, "Multipole charge conservation and implications on electromagnetic radiation," arXiv:1610.02870 [hep-th].

[38] G. Compère, L. Donnay, P.-H. Lambert, and W. Schulgin, "Liouville theory beyond the cosmological horizon," *JHEP* **03** (2015) 158, arXiv:1411.7873 [hep-th].

[39] E. Conde and P. Mao, "Remarks on Asymptotic Symmetries and the Sub-leading Soft Photon Theorem," *Phys. Rev.* **D95** (2017) 021701, arXiv:1605.09731 [hep-th]. E. Conde and P. Mao, "BMS Supertranslations and Not So Soft Gravitons," arXiv:1612.08294 [hep-th].